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The customer for this project is Arnulfo Torres, registered nurse based out of Rochester, NY. He approved the final PRD revision D on December 15, 2017. And email confirmation is attached in the Appendix section.
The motivation behind the TB detection test is to assist nurses for improving accuracy in medical procedures and diagnosis. The senior design group would identify optical specifications along with source and detector design system.

**Vision:**
The ideal system has a source and detector connected to a data processing device. The user would place the detector and source above the spot of skin with PPD injection. The device would be able to calculate the surface topography of the bleb after injection, and provide a volume measurement in milliliters. This includes, cross sectional area and height of the bleb. After the patient returns for a follow up meeting 48hr to 72hr later, the device would be able to calculate the cross sectional area, most importantly the width perpendicular to the arm, of the physical reaction on the dermis. We are exploring a profilometry method, an approach that meets the basic criteria requested by the customer. This approach explores the physical traits such as area and volume of the skin reaction to the PPD injection. This solution is similar to that of the current medical procedure, but will eliminate the subjectivity of measuring the spot by hand or simply judging size via the human eye.

**Environment:**
As a device intended for clinics, it needs to operate in the following environment:

**Temperature**
59-77 °F – operation range

**Relative Humidity**
40-50%~ room temperature

It will operate under outlet/battery power.
Building and testing will be done in a lab in Wilmot 536.

**Budget:**
Currently all cost should be under $1000
Regulatory Issues:
None

Fitness for use:
The scanner system will:

- Low Signal/Noise, high contrast
- Write code (MATLAB) to take the 2D image obtained and render a height to construct a 3D image, giving us a volumetric measurement.
- Need a stationary stand to elevate and angle source and detector
- System will be portable and lightweight, about weight of laptop
- Create calibration technique for system to assure accurate and consistent measurements
  - Including a calibration sample of known size (possibly use 3D printer in Rettner)
- Stand to hold source/detector instrument, exploring tripod and small C arm designs, must be stationary
- Currently operating under $1000 budget
- Be able to reliably categorize patients and keep their before and after test results separate

Schematics

Figure 1: Lens 1 would be a collimating lens. Grating would be placed in the collimated beam path. The second lens is placed 2 focal lengths away from the grating and thus imaged at 2f away the lens with 1x magnification. The camera would detected perpendicular to the image plane.
### Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collimator Lens Diameter</td>
<td>~ 20mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object FOV</td>
<td>≥ 20mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnification</td>
<td>1 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall system length</td>
<td>&lt; 300 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>&lt; 5 lbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Source</td>
<td>LED or White Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor CCD Resolution</td>
<td>1 MP at 5 um per pixel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grating Spacing and Dimension</td>
<td>1 to 2 lp/mm ; 20 x 20 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response time</td>
<td>&lt; 5 minute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is desirable that:
- Both problems (correct injection depth and reading results) should be solved in one instrument
- Instrument must be portable, easy to operate, low cost

If there is time and/or team resources available:
- Be able to charge and use without continuous power source
- Plug into phone and use with app

We are not responsible for
- False negatives and positives diagnosis
- Accuracy of the physical injection
- Getting our product approved by the FDA

**Next Steps and Upcoming challenges**
- Lab space has been acquired, PPD samples to be picked up today
- 3D print volume sample to test profilometry method
- PPD sample has been acquired
- Test PPD sample to determine reflectivity and transmission
- Test reflectivity and transmission of different color types of skin.
- Solve the problem that skin will diffuse light and might cause noticeable scatter.
- Consider the curvature of the “reference plane” in the calculation of the height since the tested skin is not perfectly flat.
- Consider the diffraction limit and aberration of the system.
**Team Member Responsibilities**

Sze Wah Lee (Project Coordinator)
- Reinforce Schedules
- Gating Spacing
- Code V modelling of Optical System with known aberrations

Rebecca Silver (Customer Liaison)
- Create and running MATLAB code
- Communicate with customer

Madilyn Beckman (Scribe)
- Create and running MATLAB Code
- Maintain meeting notes with clarity and concision

Coco Yang (Document Handler)
- CAD drawing of the 3 3-D bumps (Make sure its STL file, https://www.tinkercad.com/)
- Lab equipments tracking and finding
- Ensure all official documents includes all the requirements, specifications and correct format.

**Fall Semester 2017 Timeline**

October
- Meet with customers and address scope of problem
- Brainstorm Preliminary design solutions
- Meet with faculty advisor

November
- Decided on a design solution
- Suggest solutions to customer and readdres scope of problem
- Design setup and understand the mathematical algorithm for solution
- Lab space confirmation
- Preliminary lab demonstration

December
- Final PRD and presentation
- Plan for next semester
Spring Semester 2018 Timeline

January
- Write a functional MATLAB program
- Obtain system components and assemble in lab
- 3D print bumps with known volume, width and height

February
- Implement our code and test with 3D bump in lab
- Revise and improve code
- Design a final packaging prototype

March
- Finalize Prototype
- IA Presentation

April
- Probability to test device on store bought chicken
- Design Day
Appendix:
Anticipated Lab Internal Equipment Borrowing
CCD Camera (Per’s Lab)
Optical Rail and Len’s Mount (Per’s Lab)
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Progress:
Our plan is to measure the modulus of skin tissue in order to quantify the induration of
the tissue. We have several approaches in mind, including ultrasound elastography and
compressive force sensors, but haven’t narrowed it down yet.

Basic Lab Setup Implementation

Figure (a) Left. Grating pattern is imaged onto an angled flat surface. (b) Right. Image seen by the phone camera positioned perpendicular to the flat surface.
The object with unknown height on the flat surface distorts the grating pattern
as expected from the theory.

Equations for data analysis

(a) \( g_\circ(x, y) = a(x, y) + b(x, y) \cos[2\pi f_\circ x + \Phi_\circ(x, y)] \)

(b) \( \Phi_\circ(x) = 2\pi f_\circ \overline{BD} \)

(c) \( g_s(x, y) = a(x, y) + b(x, y) \cos[2\pi f_\circ x + \Phi_s(x, y)] \)
(d) \( \Phi_s(x) = 2\pi f_o AD \)

(e) \( \Delta \Phi = 2\pi f_o AB = 3 \left\{ \log[F^{-1}(G_s(u, v)G_o^*(u, v))] \right\} \)

(f) \[
h(x, y) = \frac{L}{2\pi L^2 \frac{dcos\theta}{P_o \Delta \Phi (L+x cos \theta \sin \theta)^2} - \frac{dcos\theta \sin \theta}{L+x cos \theta \sin \theta} + 1}
\]

(g) \( P_o = \frac{1}{f_o} = \frac{P}{cos \theta} \)

\( G_o \) and \( G_s \) are the fourier transforms of the reference and object fringe pattern, given by \( g_o \) and \( g_s \). Together they are the change in phase due to height, and \( h \) gives the height of the object at all points \((x,y)\) of an image. \( P \) is the the projected grating. All other variables are givens from the setup.